

# THE REAL EXCHANGE RATE

## A. The terms of trade

**A static version: 1 period, 2 countries, 2 goods,**

$Y_1, Y_2$

Home:  $u(c_{11}, c_{12})$

$$p_1 c_{11} + p_2 c_{12} = p_1 Y_1 \Rightarrow c_{11} + q c_{12} = Y_1 \quad (1)$$

Foreign:  $u(c_{21}, c_{22})$        $c_{21} + q c_{22} = q Y_2$

Given  $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$       we want to determine       $\{c_1, c_2, q\}$

$$c_{11} : \quad u_{c_{11}} = \lambda_1$$

$$c_{12} : \quad u_{c_{12}} = \lambda_1 q$$

$$\frac{u_{c_{12}}}{u_{c_{11}}} = q = \frac{u_{c_{22}}}{u_{c_{21}}}$$

- ▶ In general  $q$  depends on both supply (the levels of  $Y_1, Y_2$ ) and demand factors (preferences, the distribution of the endowments ownership across countries, for instance, home residents may own part of the foreign output, etc).
- ▶ In a dynamic model it may be very hard to keep track of the distribution of world wealth when trying to determine prices and quantities.
- ▶ To avoid this difficulty we can make assumptions such as:
  1. complete asset markets
  2. incomplete markets together with particular specifications of utility (+ production)

$$u_1 = \ln c_{11} + \theta \ln c_{12}, u_2 = \ln c_{21} + \theta \ln c_{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta c_{11} = q c_{12} & \qquad + \qquad \theta c_{21} = q c_{22} \\ \frac{\theta c_{11}}{c_{12}} = q = \frac{\theta c_{21}}{c_{22}} \\ \theta \underbrace{(c_{11} + c_{21})}_{Y_1} = q \underbrace{(c_{12} + c_{22})}_{Y_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$q = \theta \frac{Y_1}{Y_2} \quad \frac{dq}{d\theta} > 0$$

Supply shocks:  $Y_1 \uparrow, q \uparrow$       Demand shocks:  $\theta \uparrow, q \uparrow$

Key implication: Faster growth (an increase in supply) deteriorates a country's real exchange rate (leads to a real depreciation).

*Empirical project:* 1. Using the Blanchard-Quah decomposition in order to identify demand and supply shocks examine the effect of supply shocks on the terms of trade

## The distribution of consumption across countries

Combining  $\theta c_{11} = qc_{12}$  with  $c_{11} + qc_{12} = Y_1$  gives  $c_{11} = \frac{1}{1+\theta}Y_1$ . Using the market clearing condition  $c_{11} + c_{21} = Y_1$  gives  $c_{21} = \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}Y_1$

$$\begin{aligned}c_{12} &= \frac{1}{1+\theta}Y_2 & c_{22} &= \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}Y_2 \\c_{11} &= \frac{1}{1+\theta}Y_1 & c_{21} &= \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}Y_1\end{aligned}$$

If  $\theta > 1$  and if  $Y_1 = Y_2 \Rightarrow q > 1$ . Country 2 is richer.

$$c_{21} > c_{11} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{22} > c_{12}$$

Exercise: Let  $u = c_1$ ,  $u^* = c_2$  with

$$c_1 = \left[ \gamma^{\frac{1}{\theta}} c_{11}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} + (1-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} c_{12}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}} \quad \theta > 0$$

$$c_2 = \left[ \gamma^{\frac{1}{\theta}} c_{21}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} + (1-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} c_{22}^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}} \quad \theta > 0$$

Note that there is no home bias in consumption.  
Determine the terms of trade  $q = p_2/p_1$ .

## Dynamic extension

- ▶ 2 period
- ▶ storable goods  $Y_{1t}, Y_{2t}$

Question: How does the relative price in any period respond to changes in current or future economic conditions?

For instance, describe the following effects

$dq_t/dY_{t+1}$ ,  $dq_t/d\theta_{t+1}$  (for the log) and  $dq_t/d\gamma_{t+1}$  (for the CES)

*Project:* There is a popular claim that countries with a strong CA position also have a strong real exchange rate (terms of trade). Use the 2 country, 2 good, 2 period model with various shocks to derive the correlation between the CA and  $q$ . Under what conditions do you get this positive correlation? Do these correlations imply anything for international trade competitiveness?

## B. Non-traded goods and the real exchange rate

$$\text{Real exchange rate} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{p_N}{p_T} \\ \frac{P}{P^*} \end{array} \right.$$

The Balassa-Samuelson effect:  $\rightarrow$  Productivity growth and real exchange rate appreciation

- ▶ Fast growing countries experience a real exchange rate appreciation
- ▶ But there are no implications for international competitiveness
- ▶ (Does the experience of a country like Greece fit to the B-S framework?)
- ▶  $\rightarrow$  Rich countries have higher price levels

Demonstrate the B-S result using the Ricardian model

Assumptions:

- ▶ 2 sectors
- ▶ 1 factor of production (L)
- ▶ perfect L mobility across sectors
- ▶ linear production technology ( $AP = MP = \text{constant}$ )

$$L = L_T + L_N$$

$$Y_T = \alpha_T L_T$$

$$Y_N = \alpha_N L_N$$

Perfect competition:  $P = MC \Rightarrow P_T = \alpha_T w$  and  
 $P_N = \alpha_N w$



Observation:  $\frac{P_N}{P_T} = \frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_T}$  That is, the real exchange rate is independent of demand (due to the linearity of production).  
The price index

$$P = P_T^{(1-\theta)} P_N^\theta$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P &= P_T \left( \frac{P_N}{P_T} \right)^\theta \\ P^* &= P_T^* \left( \frac{P_N^*}{P_T^*} \right)^\theta \end{aligned} \right\} \frac{P}{P^*} = \frac{P_T}{P_T^*} \left( \frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_N^*} \right)^\theta \left( \frac{\alpha_T^*}{\alpha_T} \right)^\theta$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_T &= P_T^* \\ \alpha_N &= \alpha_N^* \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} \alpha_T^* &> \alpha_T \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_T} &> \frac{1}{\alpha_T^*} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $P > P^*$

- ▶ Rich countries are more productive (have lower  $\alpha_T$ )  $\Rightarrow$  will have higher price levels
- ▶ Source of price differences: difference in prices of NON traded goods

$$P_T = \alpha_T w$$

$$P_N = \alpha_N w$$

- ▶ Similarly, if a country experiences fast productivity growth (typically in manufacturing goods which tend to be traded) then it will experience an appreciation in its real exchange rate (defined either as  $\frac{P_N}{P_T}$  or  $\frac{P}{P^*}$ )

$$\frac{P_N}{P_T} \uparrow \text{ and } \frac{P}{P^*} \uparrow \text{ as a country grows fast}$$

- ▶ Note: If the production function is concave (say, C-D) demand conditions, for instance, the level of government spending matter for the real exchange rate

*Empirical project:* To what extent the changes in the real exchange rates in the various countries of the EU following monetary integration (EMU) reflect B-S factors? To what extent real appreciations (Greece, Spain,...) represent a worsening in international competitiveness?