

# INTERNATIONAL MONETARY ECONOMICS

## LECTURE NOTE 3: INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKETS

Prof. Harris Dellas<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>University of Bern, SS 2017.

A key reason for int'l asset trade: Consumption smoothing

- ▶ over time (borrowing-lending)
- ▶ across states of nature (risk sharing).

## IMPLICATIONS OF GLOBAL MARKETS

- ▶ a) The law of one price (people in different countries face the same asset prices)
- ▶ b) Consumption smoothing (people in different countries can pool national consumption risks)
- ▶ c) The efficient international allocation of investment (new savings, regardless of where it originates, is allocated to the country with the most productive investment opportunities)

2 periods, period 2 uncertain

Two possible outcomes (states of world)  $s = 1, 2$

$$u = u(c_1) + \beta \{ \pi(1)u(c_{21}) + \pi(2)u(c_{22}) \}$$

$\pi(1)$  = probability of state  $s = 1$  occurring

$c_{21}$  = consumption in 2nd period if state  $s = 1$  occurs

$c_{22}$  = consumption in 2nd period if state  $s = 2$  occurs

- ▶ An Arrow-Debreu security is an asset that delivers 1 unit of output in period 2 in state  $s$  and zero otherwise (the payoff is state contingent).
- ▶ A bond is a non-contingent asset. It delivers  $1 + r \forall s$ . It is equivalent to  $1 + r$  units of A-D securities for  $s = 1$  and  $1 + r$  units of A-D for  $s = 2$

*Complete asset markets:* There exist A-D securities  $\forall s$ .

Let  $Q(s)$  be the period 1 price of an A-D security that delivers 1 unit of the good in the next period if state  $s$  materializes and zero otherwise

The individual's budget constraint in period 1 is

$$Y_1 = c_1 + B(1)Q(1) + B(2)Q(2)$$

$B(1)$  = number of securities that pay 1 unit in  $s = 1$

$B(2)$  = number of securities that pay 1 unit in  $s = 2$

Note that for the individual,  $B(s)$  can be either positive (when he gets paid in state  $s$ ) or negative (when he pays out in state  $s$ )

The budget constraint in period 2 is state by state:

$$c_2(1) = Y_2(1) + B(1)$$

$$c_2(2) = Y_2(2) + B(2)$$

We have full insurance if  $c_2(1) = c_2(2) = c_2$

## Pricing of A-D securities

$$\max_{B(1), B(2)} u[Y_1 - Q(1)B(1) - Q(2)B(2)] + \beta \{ \pi(1)[Y_2(1) + B(1)] + \pi(2)[Y_2(2) + B(2)] \}$$

$$Q(s)u'(c_1) = \beta\pi(s)u'(c_2(s)) \quad (1)$$

A –real– bond costs now 1 unit and pays out  $(1+r)$  units in the next period, independent of the state.

In order to replicate this payout, one can buy 2 A-D securities, one that pays in state 1 and the other that pays in state 2.

One needs to buy  $(1+r)$  units of each type of these securities in order to get  $1+r$  (recall that 1 unit of the asset pays 1 unit of the good).

How much do they cost? They cost  $Q(1)(1+r) + Q(2)(1+r)$ .

Arbitrage requires that the price of the real bond and of this portfolio of A-D securities should be the same

$$Q(1)(1+r) + Q(2)(1+r) = 1 \Rightarrow Q(1) + Q(2) = 1/(1+r).$$

The interest rate on the real bond can be computed from equation 1. It is

$$\frac{1}{(1+r)} = Q(1) + Q(2) = \beta\pi(1)\frac{\beta u_{c_2}(1)}{u_{c_1}} + \beta\pi(2)\frac{u_{c_2}(2)}{u_{c_1}} = \frac{\beta}{u_{c_1}} E u_{c_2}$$

Implications of complete asset markets for international risk sharing, the correlation of cross country consumption movements, the volatility of national consumption relative to the volatility of domestic output etc.

$$U_{c_1} Q(s) = \pi(s) \beta U_{c_2}(s)$$

$$1 : U_{c_1} Q(1) = \pi(1) \beta U_{c_2}(1)$$

$$2 : U_{c_1} Q(2) = \pi(2) \beta U_{c_2}(2)$$

$$\frac{Q(1)}{Q(2)} = \frac{\pi(1) U_{c_2}(1)}{\pi(2) U_{c_2}(2)}$$

If  $\frac{Q(1)}{Q(2)} = \frac{\pi(1)}{\pi(2)}$ , then  $C_2(1) = C_2(2)$  and Arrow–Debreu prices are actuarially fair.

If Arrow–Debreu prices are actuarially fair then countries will insure themselves against all future consumption fluctuations.

Example:  $U(C) = \frac{C^{1-\rho}}{1-\rho}$  and  $U(C^*) = \frac{C^{*1-\rho}}{1-\rho}$ , then

$$C_2(s) = \left( \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{Q(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} C_1$$

$$C_2^*(s) = \left( \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{Q(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} C_1^*$$

The resource constraints in each period and in each state of nature imply

$$C_2(s) + C_2^*(s) = Y_2^W(s) = \left( \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{Q(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} (C_1 + C_1^*) = \left( \frac{\beta\pi(s)}{Q(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} Y_1^W$$

Hence

$$Q(s) = \pi(s)\beta \left( \frac{Y_2^W(s)}{Y_1^W} \right)^{-\rho} \quad \forall s$$

**Observation 1:** Under complete asset markets, the distribution of income (wealth) across countries does not matter for asset prices—*i.e.* world income,  $Y^W$ , matters, not  $(Y_2, Y_2^*)$ .

$$\frac{Q(s_i)}{Q(s_j)} = \left( \frac{Y_2^W(s_i)}{Y_2^W(s_j)} \right)^{-\rho} \frac{\pi(s_i)}{\pi(s_j)}$$

**Observation 2:** Prices will be actuarially fair if  $Y_2^W(s_i) = Y_2^W(s_j) \forall i, j$ , *i.e.* world output is constant, independent of  $s \implies$  NO AGGREGATE WORLD OUTPUT UNCERTAINTY.



Implications of the model under complete asset markets:

$$\frac{C_2(s)}{C_1} = \frac{C_2^*(s)}{C_1^*}$$

That is, consumption growth is perfectly correlated across countries

$$\text{corr}(C_{gr}, C_{gr}^*) > \text{corr}(Y_{gr}, Y_{gr}^*)$$

The correlation of consumption growth across countries greater than the correlation of outputs

Caveats: The existence of non-traded goods.

A simple numerical example

Case A: No aggregate uncertainty

	$Y_H$	$Y_F$	$Y_{H+F}$	$C_H$	$C_F$
R	200	100	300	150	150
NR	100	200	300	150	150

R corresponds to rain, NR to no rain.

Perfect consumption smoothing across states

$$(C_H(i) = C_H(j), i, j = R \text{ vs } NR)$$

Perfect international risk sharing

$$(C_H(i) = C_F(i), i = R, NR)$$

### Case B: Aggregate uncertainty

	$Y_H$	$Y_F$	$Y_{H+F}$	$C_H$	$C_F$
R	300	100	400	200	200
NR	100	200	300	150	150

Perfect international risk sharing

$$(C_H(i) = C_F(i), i = R, NR)$$

Imperfect consumption smoothing across states

$$(C_H(i) \neq C_H(j), i, j = R \text{ vs } NR)$$

Unlike idiosyncratic risk which can be fully diversified (insured against) aggregate risk cannot be eliminated.